Operator: PEOPLES GAS LIGHT AND COKE CO.	Operator ID#: 15329
Inspection Date(s): 11/12/2013, 11/13/2013, 11/14/2013	Man Days: 3
Inspection Unit: North Shop	
Location of Audit: Chicago	
Exit Meeting Contact: Wilson Mantilla	
Inspection Type: Design Testing and Construction	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Steve Canestrini,	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Tom Webb	
Company Representative's Email Address: TJWebb@peoplesgasdelivery.com	

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION				
Location of Construction:	Construction I	Construction Performed By:		
5017 N Monticello, Chicago	NPL Constructi	on		
Contractor Foreman:				
Operator Inspector:				
Person(s) On Job Site				
Cliff Beth	Harold Broughton	Mark Burbridge		
Terry Peterson	Mark Koenen	Andres Hernendez		
Hector Villacana	Sam Saad			
Description of Construction:	•			
NPL Construction installing a 1" PE service	ce			

SERVICE INSTALLATION		Status
[192.55]	Steel	No
[192.59]	PE	Yes
[192.59]	Other	No
[192.619,192.621,192.623]	MAOP	25 PSI
[192.5]	Class location :	4
[192.53]	Are components qualified for use?	Satisfactory
[192.53] General Comment:	Are components qualified for use?	_

All pipe and components were properly marked and qua	alified for use.	
[192.63(a)(2)]	Pipe Size :	1"
[192.55,192.59]	Specification :	PE 2406/2708
[192.55,192.59]	Manufacturer :	DriscoPlex
[192.55,192.59]	Pipe Grade :	D2513
[192.55,192.59]	Wall Thickness :	0.315
[192.63]	Are pipe, valves, and fittings properly marked for identification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
All pipe and fittings were properly marked for identification	on.	
[192.227]	Welder qualification date:	PE
[192.227]	Welder's Name:	PE
[192.225,192.275,192.277,192.279,192.283]	Is pipe joined in accordance with approved written procedures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The fuser followed the proper cleaning, alignment, fusing	g, and cool-down procedures.	
[192.285]	Date of Qualification :	7/11/13
[192.285]	Joiner's name:	Terry Peterson
[192.455]	Is buried metallic pipe coated?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE service installation		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE service installation		
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Anodes:	No
[192.455(a)(1)]	Is cathodic protection being provided? By Rectifier:	No
[192.461]	Does coating meet 192.461?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
PE service installation		
[192.461(c)]	Is coating inspected just prior to being installed in the ditch?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
PE service installation		
[192.479]	Are above ground facilities cleaned and coated or jacketed as	Satisfactory

	needed?	
General Comment:		
The service riser was properly cleaned and coated.		
[192.467]	Are pipelines electrically isolated from other underground metallic structures?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Did not observe any underground metallic structures ne	ar the installation.	
[192.319]	Is ditch back-filled to provide firm support and prevent damage to pipe or coating?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The ditch was back-filled to provide support and preven	t any damage to the service or main	
[192.321(c)]	Is plastic pipe installed as to minimize shear and tensile forces?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service was installed to minimize any forces being	applied against the pipe.	
[192.321]	Does plastic pipe have means of locating while underground?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
A tracer wire was installed next to the service. It was th	en properly connected to the tracer wire for the main	
[192.325]	Are required clearances from underground structures being maintained?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Did not observe any underground structures near the in	stallation.	
Is required cover being obtained for the service line?		Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The service was approximately 26" in depth. The main	was approximately 42" in depth.	
[192.503]	Are general testing requirements being met?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
Did not observe the pressure test. Still in the process o	f installation.	
[192.517]	Are records being made of strength and leak tests?	Not Checked
General Comment:		
Did not observe the pressure test. Still in the process of installation.		
[192.807]	Were covered employees Operator Qualification records reviewed to ensure qualification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		

Reviewed the OQ records for the employees on the job s	site. All were qualified in the tasks being performed.	
[192.805(c)]	Were non-qualified personnel being "directed and observed" by a qualified individual?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees were qualified.		
[192.805(c)]	Were span of control limitations being followed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
All employees were qualified.		
Was a Protocol 9 (Form 15) Completed?		No
[192.614]	Were One Call Notifications performed as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Reviewed the permit and dig number. Both were valid for	or the dates and location.	
[192.614]	Dig Ticket #	372046461
[192.383(b)]	Is an EFV installed on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
An EFV was installed as a component of the service tee.		